Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/505,224	TESHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dan Washburn	2628
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Dan Washburn</u> .	(3)	
(2) Wesley Ashton.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2007</u>	Time: <u>430 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: rejection of claims 7 and 9 as not being further limiting of claim 12		
Claims discussed: 7,9		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
· ·		
D. W.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn contacted Attorney Ashton regarding the fact that claims 7 and 9, which depend from claim 12, both wholly recite a limitation that was already presented in claim 12. Examiner Washburn proposed canceling claims 7 and 9 to put the case in condition for allowance. Attorney Ashton agreed to the changes.